

# ADVERSE REACTION TO LATEX CONTAINING MATERIALS IN HEALTH CARE WORKERS

Gh. Pouryaghoub, R. Mehrdad and M. M. Mazhari

Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences/  
University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

**Abstract-** Latex allergy has become an occupational hazard among healthcare workers. Atopy, intensity and duration of exposure have been recognized as predisposing factors for latex sensitization. Frequency of sensitization varies among countries. So we decided to investigate the prevalence of latex sensitization and potential risk factors among healthcare workers in a general hospital. In a cross sectional study by distributing a questionnaire among 876 employees of a general hospital, we investigated the prevalence of latex allergy and the potential risk factors for latex sensitization. We collected information about occupational history, including specific tasks performed, time of first exposure to latex, number of pairs of gloves used, and duration of weekly exposure. We also investigated the interval between first exposure and onset of symptoms. We asked about pre-existing rhinoconjunctivitis, asthma, atopic and contact dermatitis, hay fever, autoimmune diseases, and food allergies. This survey documented a high prevalence of adverse reaction to all latex containing materials (52.5%). 37.7% of responder had adverse reaction to latex gloves. The highest prevalence of adverse reaction to all latex containing materials was found in the surgical operating room, followed by emergency unit and internal medicine wards. According to this study, frequency of adverse reaction to latex was high among health care workers. This may be due to relatively low response rate, low quality of latex products in Iran, and the method of measurement. Whenever, the need for implementing prevention program, using latex-free methods and training of employees to reduce adverse reaction to latex is apparent.

© 2008 Tehran University of Medical Sciences. All rights reserved.

*Acta Medica Iranica*, 46(1): 47-50; 2008

**Key words:** Latex allergy, latex gloves, natural rubber latex, healthcare workers

## INTRODUCTION

Allergic sensitization to natural rubber latex (NRL) is an important occupational health problem among healthcare workers (1-4). Proteins of NRL (*heavamine*, *hevein*, and rubber elongation factor) can be absorbed through the skin or inhaled. Also cornstarch glove powder can act as a carrier for these allergenic proteins (5, 6).

Received: 19 Jun. 2006, Revised: 30 Oct. 2006, Accepted: 19 Nov. 2006

**\* Corresponding Author:**

Gholamreza Pouryaghoub, Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences/Tehran University, Tehran, Iran  
Tel: +98 21 66405588  
Fax: +98 21 66405588  
E-mail: pourya@sina.tums.ac.ir

Skin manifestations may include allergic contact dermatitis (type 4 or delayed hypersensitivity), urticaria, and angioedema (IgE mediated, type 1 or immediate hypersensitivity reaction). Immediate hypersensitivity reactions to latex can cause rhinitis, conjunctivitis, asthma, and in rare cases anaphylaxis (6-9). Previous studies found a frequency of allergy to latex from 10% up to 48% (1, 10-13). An apparent rise in incidence of latex-related symptoms has been associated with widespread use of NRL gloves to protect against blood-borne infections especially after rising in prevalence of HIV infection (2, 13).

In many hospitals, latex-free methods have been realized to avoid the possible occurrence of severe reactions in workers and patients (2, 3). Data from

several epidemiological studies shows that sensitization varies among countries, and also among different regions of a country (1). It is necessary to gather information about the frequency and determinants of latex sensitization so that preventive measures and health surveillance can be implemented effectively. With this regard, we investigated the prevalence of latex sensitization and potential risk factors among healthcare personnel in a general hospital in Tehran.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

We surveyed 876 employees of a general hospital in Tehran. At first, a questionnaire was administered to 876 healthcare workers (all of healthcare workers in the hospital who use latex products). The aim and procedures of the survey were explained for all of them. Information about occupational history, including the specific tasks performed, ward, time of first exposure, and time period between first exposure and occurrence of adverse reaction to latex exposure was obtained. The questionnaire also investigated the number of pairs of gloves used per week, and hours they were worn. Adverse reaction (such as chapping or cracking of skin, rhinoconjunctivitis, pruritus in hands & eyes, redness, swelling, hives, dyspnea, anaphylactic shock, vesicles and blisters, sneezing), and time interval between the first exposure and the onset of symptoms were also asked.

Predisposing factors to sensitization such as pre-existing rhinoconjunctivitis, asthma, atopic and contact dermatitis, hay fever, autoimmune diseases and food allergies (especially kiwi, tomato, banana, milk, egg...) were surveyed. We considered multiple sources of latex exposure including balloons, rubber gloves, hot water bottles, rubber balls, rubber bands, adhesive tape, ace bandages, dental devices, bandages, belt, brassieres, carpet backing, clothing, suspenders, condoms, erasers, face masks, garden hoses, golf & tennis grips, foam pillows, IV tubing, shower, ostomy bag, NG Tube.

## RESULTS

The total number of participants who replied to the questionnaire was 501(57%), including 273(55.5%)nurses, 112(22.4%) physicians, 65(13%) laboratory personnel and 36(7.2%) other occupations. Age of responders ranged from 18 to 51 yr (mean 31.6 yr SD = 6.6) and 77.5% were women.

Frequency of adverse reaction to all latex containing materials was 52.5% (263), (CI 95%: 48%-57%) and frequency of adverse reaction to latex gloves was 37.7% (189), (CI 95%: 33%-42%). Table 1 shows the frequency of adverse reactions to latex containing materials in details.

In this study there was a significant association between adverse reaction to latex and contact dermatitis ( $P=0.0005$ ), rhinoconjunctivitis ( $P=0.005$ ), asthma ( $P=0.021$ ) and food allergy ( $P=0.001$ ).

**Table 1.** Frequency of adverse reactions to latex products and latex gloves in positive cases

| symptoms              | adverse reaction to latex products† |          | adverse reaction to latex gloves |          |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
|                       | number                              | percent‡ | number                           | percent‡ |
| redness               | 158                                 | 60.7     | 111                              | 58.7     |
| Itching(hands & eyes) | 151                                 | 57.4     | 125                              | 66.1     |
| Cracking of skin      | 124                                 | 47.1     | 107                              | 56.6     |
| swelling              | 69                                  | 26.2     | 46                               | 24.3     |
| hives                 | 50                                  | 10       | 35                               | 18.5     |
| Sneezing              | 47                                  | 17.9     | 35                               | 18.5     |
| Rhinoconjunctivitis   | 35                                  | 13.3     | 25                               | 13.2     |
| Blister               | 17                                  | 6.5      | 9                                | 4.7      |
| Dyspnea               | 17                                  | 6.5      | 12                               | 6.3      |
| Anaphylactic shock    | 1                                   | 0.2      | 1                                | 0.5      |

† All latex containing materials including latex gloves

‡ Percent of reaction among symptomatic cases

**Table 2.** Comparison of mean of age, pairs of gloves used in a week, and duration of wearing gloves/week between symptomatic and asymptomatic group.

| adverse reaction                      | symptomatic |      | asymptomatic |      | P value |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------|--------------|------|---------|
|                                       | mean        | SD   | mean         | SD   |         |
| Age (year)                            | 30.7        | 6.7  | 32.6         | 6.4  | 0.001   |
| Pairs of glove used in week           | 18.88       | 17   | 12.82        | 17.5 | 0.057   |
| Duration of wearing glove/week (hour) | 13.66       | 12.5 | 10.38        | 9.5  | 0.001   |

The time interval between the first exposure and the onset of symptoms was more than 5 yr in 21.3%, 2-5 yr in 6.5%, 1-2 yr in 6.5%, and less than 1 yr in 64.6%.

The duration of occupational exposure to latex gloves was higher in symptomatic workers (Table 2).

Table 2 shows that percentage of symptomatic workers who had used more pairs of latex gloves was higher than those who had used less pairs, and shows that younger workers were more sensitive to latex than older ones.

The prevalence of types 1 and 4 hypersensitivity was 31.5% and 68.5%, respectively.

The highest prevalence of symptomatic workers due to all latex containing products was found in the surgical operating room, followed by emergency unit, and internal medicine wards. The highest prevalence of adverse reaction to latex gloves was found in the surgical operating room, followed by ICU, and emergency unit (Table 3).

## DISCUSSION

This survey in hospital personnel documented a high prevalence of adverse reaction to all latex containing products (52.9%) and latex gloves (37.7%). The response rate was relatively low (57%), but nearly similar to other studies (15,19).

This high prevalence of adverse reaction may be due to relatively low response rate, using questionnaire which is a very sensitive measure to survey such reactions, to survey other 23 latex containing products in addition to gloves, and probable low quality of latex gloves in Iran.

Our findings show association of latex sensitization with some allergic diseases (especially contact dermatitis, rhinoconjunctivitis, and asthma) and food allergy, these findings are consistent with other studies (1,6,10). The symptoms most frequently associated with the use of latex gloves were skin redness and itching of the hands & eyes these findings are consistent with previous findings (6).

**Table 3.** Frequency of adverse reaction to latex products† & gloves in different wards

| adverse reaction  | adverse reaction to latex products |          | adverse reaction to latex gloves |          |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
|                   | number                             | percent‡ | number                           | percent‡ |
| Internal medicine | 95                                 | 56.2     | 62                               | 36.6     |
| Surgery           | 46                                 | 49.5     | 38                               | 40.8     |
| Emergency         | 14                                 | 60.9     | 10                               | 43.4     |
| Operation room    | 24                                 | 85.7     | 22                               | 78.5     |
| ICU               | 31                                 | 54.4     | 25                               | 43.8     |
| laboratory        | 31                                 | 48.4     | 16                               | 25       |
| Endoscopy         | 6                                  | 46.2     | 5                                | 38.5     |
| Gynecology        | 7                                  | 31.8     | 4                                | 18.2     |
| Others            | 9                                  | 28.1     | 7                                | 21.9     |

† All latex containing products including latex gloves

‡ Percent among participants in a specific ward

## Adverse reaction to latex containing materials

Definitive occupational risk factors for latex sensitization among healthcare workers include the duration of wearing and frequency of changing gloves (1,6,10). We also found statistically significant differences between two groups in terms of exposure duration and frequency of changing gloves but differences between two groups were less than our expectation, may be due to healthy workers effect.

## Acknowledgment

This study has been supported by Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS). We are grateful to all healthcare workers who participate in our study.

## REFERENCES

1. Nicola Verna, Luca Di Giampaol, Annablarenzetli, et al. Prevalence and risk factor for latex related Disease among HCWs in an Italian General Hospital. *Annals of clinical laboratory Science*. 2003; 33:184-191.
2. American Nurses Association, position statement on Latex allergy. *Okla Nurse*. 1997 Oct-Dec; 42(4):32-33.
3. Bollinger ME, Mudd k, Keible La, Hess Bel, et al-A Hospital-based Screening program for NRL. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2002 Jun; 88(6):560-567.
4. Potter pc, Crombic, Mariar A, et al-Latex allergy Groote Schuur Hospital- Prevalence clinical features and outcome-s. *Afr Med J*. 2001 Sept; (9); 760-765.
5. Euggne R, Waclawsaki-Prevalence and risk factors for Latex allergy: a cross sectional study in a United Kingdom hospital- occup. *Environ Med*. 2000; 57:501- doi:1136/oem.57.7.501
6. James S.Taylor & Emel Erkek-Latex allergy: diagnosis and management. *Dermatologic Terapy*. 2004 September; 17 (Issu 4): 289.
7. Ahmed SM, AWTC, Adishes A. Toxicological and immunological aspects of occupational Latex allergy. *Yoxical Rev*. 2004; 23(2):123-134.
8. Julia A, Woods BA-Susan Lambert RN, Thomas AE, Platts, et al. NRL allergy spectrum ,diagnostic approach and Therapy. *Journal of Emergency Medicin*. 1997 Jun-Feb; 5(Issue 1): 71-85.
9. C.J.M Poole and v.Nagendran. Low prevalence of clinical latex allergy in UK HCWS: a cross sectional study. *Occup. Med*. 2001 Aug; 51(8): 510-512.
10. Chen YH, Ian JL. Latex allergy and Latex fruit syndrome among medical workers in Taiwan-J formos *Med Assoc*. 2002 Sep; 101(9):622-626.
11. Garabrant DH, Schweitzers-Epidemilogy of latex sensitization and allergies in HCWS-J *Allergy clin Immunol* 2002 Aug; 110(2 supp):s82-95
12. H Wang JI, Park HA. Prevalence of adverse reaction to Latex gloves in Korean Operating room nurses-Int J *Nurse Study* :2002 Aug;39(6):637-643.
13. Kose Surkran and Mandlaarcglglu Aliye-Latex allergy in Bozyaka social security Hospital workers Turkey – *Chin Med J* 2004;117(2):305-307
14. Notle H, Babakhin A,Babarim A, et al. Prevalence of skin test reaction to NRL in hospital personnel in Russia and eastern Europe. 2002 Nov; 89(5):452-456.
15. Sulic,Parziale M,Loini M,et al- across sectional study on HCWS of an Italian hospital. *J Investing Allergon Clin Immunol*. 2004; 14(1):646.
16. Ozkan O, Gokdogan F. The prevalence of latex allergy among HCWS in Bolu (Turkey). *Dermatol Nars*. 2003 Dec; 15(6):543-7.554
17. Hemergy ML, Verdier R,Dahan D,et al-sensitization to powdered latex gloves:prevalence in hospital employees. *press Med*. 2005 Nov;34(19pti):1363.1369.
18. Ahmed DD, Sobczak Sc, Yunginer Jw-Occupational allergies caused by Latex. *Immunol Allergy Cli North Am*. 2003 May; 23(2):205-219.
19. Grippa M, Gelomim, Sala E, et al Latex allergy in HCWS: frequency, exposure quantification, efficacy of criteria used for Job fitness assessment. *Med Lav*. 2004 Jan-Feb; 95(1):62-71.
20. N chaiear, S Sdbra, M Jones, et al Sensitization to NRL epidemiological study of workers exposed during tapping and glove manufacture in Thailnd. *Occup, Enviorn Med*. 2001; 58;386-391.
21. B Galobardes, A-M, Quiliquini, N Roux, et al – influence of occupational Sensitization and allergy to latex in a swiss hospital. *Dermatology*. 2002; 203(3).
22. Warshaw Em, Nelson D- prevalence of evaluation for latex allergy and association with practice characteristics in United state dermatologists: results of a cross sectional survey. *Amj Contact Dermat*. 2001 Sep; 12(3):139-145.
23. Lopes RA. Lopes MH-Allergic reactions caused by latex: Warning to health workers. *Rev Bars Enferm*. 1999 Jul- Sep; 52(3):437-442.
24. Tyler D-Disability and medical management of NRL claims. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2002 Aug; 110(2 suppl): s129-136.

