## When Do We Start Basso, Beattie, and Bresnahan Assessment After Experimental Spinal Cord Injury?

Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar<sup>1</sup> and Seyed Behzad Jazayeri<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran <sup>2</sup>Student's Scientific Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Received: 31 May 2012; Received in revised form: 14 Jan. 2013; Accepted: 17 Feb. 2013

We read the paper of Roozbehi *et al.* (1) with great interest. They showed improved functional recovery and more motor neuron preserved histopathologically in rats which had received cyclosporine injection 15 minutes after hemisection of their spinal cord rather than those which did not receive cyclosporine; they even seem slightly better than those that started cyclosporine 24 hours after spinal cord injury (SCI). However, we would have a concern about functional recovery.

Although charts that show the behavior of animals and neurological condition started 7 days after SCI might be acceptable, but it is not ideal. It is recommended to show the neurological situation of rats one day after injury or even normal behavioral assessment before injury. On the day after SCI, rats can be evaluated; anesthesia effect has been removed and the standard starting day for Basso, Beattie, and Bresnahan (BBB) assessment is possible (2-4). This suggestion is true for any kind of injury (complete transection or hemisection) in any animal (rat or mice) (5) or even human (6-8). The importance of demonstration of first, second or even third day BBB is showing the severity of injury, because BBB of zero is in favor of severe injury. Early evaluation also shows homogeneity or heterogeneity of injury in all animals, which in homogenous severe injury BBB ± standard deviation would be  $0 \pm 0$ . Therefore, researchers can exclude rats with incomplete damage. Another benefit of very early demonstration of BBB or any behavioral assessment is its help to show when maximum functional recovery happens and when it is plateau; as we have shown, between the second and third weeks, maximum improvement occurs and if there is no motor function after the third week in rats, we do not expect to see any new motor function (2). Tail-flick reflex which is pinching rat tail is an easy test which has a significant role in prognosis. If a rat with lower thoracic injury does not have tail-flick reflex, it will not have motor recovery at all (3).

## References

- 1. Roozbehi A, Joghataie MT, Mehdizadeh M, Mirzaei A, and Delaviz H, The effects of cyclosporin-a on functional outcome and axonal regrowth following spinal cord injury in adult rats. Acta Med Iran 2012;50(4):226-32.
- Rahimi-Movaghar V, Yazdi A, Karimi M, Mohammadi M, Firouzi M, Zanjani LO, and Nabian MH, Effect of decompression on complete spinal cord injury in rats. Int J Neurosci 2008;118(10):1359-73.
- Rahimi-Movaghar V, Yazdi A, and Mohammadi M, Usefulness of the tail-flick reflex in the prognosis of functional recovery in paraplegic rats. Surg Neurol 2008;70(3):323-5.
- Rahimi-Movaghar V, Yazdi A, and Saadat S, Saturated picric acid prevents autophagia and self-mutilation in laboratory rats. Acta Med Iran 2008;46(4):283-6.
- Duffy P, Schmandke A, Sigworth J, Narumiya S, Cafferty WB, and Strittmatter SM, Rho-associated kinase II (ROCKII) limits axonal growth after trauma within the adult mouse spinal cord. J Neurosci 2009;29(48):15266-76.
- Rahimi-Movaghar V, Efficacy of surgical decompression in the setting of complete thoracic spinal cord injury. J Spinal Cord Med 2005;28(5):415-20.
- Rahimi-Movaghar V, Saadat S, Vaccaro AR, Ghodsi SM, Samadian M, Sheykhmozaffari A, Safdari SM, and Keshmirian B, The efficacy of surgical decompression before 24 hours versus 24 to 72 hours in patients with spinal cord injury from T1 to L1--with specific consideration on ethics: a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2009;10:77.
- Rahimi-Movaghar V, Vaccaro AR, and Mohammadi M, Efficacy of surgical decompression in regard to motor recovery in the setting of conus medullaris injury. J Spinal Cord Med 2006;29(1):32-8.

Corresponding Author: Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar

Research Vice Chancellor of Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran Tel: +98 21 66757010, +98 915 3422682, Fax: +98 21 66757009, E-mail: v rahimi@sina.tums.ac.ir, v rahimi@yahoo.com