# Posterior Tibial Nerve Stimulation for Treating Neurologic Bladder in Women: a Randomized Clinical Trial

Tahereh Eftekhar<sup>1</sup>, Nastaran Teimoory<sup>1</sup>, Elahe Miri<sup>1</sup>, Abolghasem Nikfallah<sup>2</sup>,
Mahsa Naemi<sup>1</sup>, and Mahsa Ghajarzadeh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Imam Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran <sup>2</sup> Brain and Spinal Injury Repair Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Received: 11 Jan. 2014; Accepted: 29 Mar. 2014

**Abstract-** Overactive bladder (OAB) is a disabling disorder. Treatment of cases with OAB includes behavioral, pharmacological, surgical interventions and peripheral electrical stimulation. The goal of this study was to determine effects of posterior tibial nerve stimulation on sexual function and pelvic disorders in women with Overactive bladder (OAB). Fifty women were randomly assigned to PTNS (posterior tibial nerve stimulation) plus tolterodine or tolterodine alone treatment. Tolterodine group received 4 mg tolterodine daily for three months while the other group received this treatment plus percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for 12 consequence weeks. Two in PTNS group and 8 in the control group withdrew from the study. Age, education level, and occupation status were not significantly different between two groups. Mean total FSFI and its subscales were not significantly different before and after treatment between two groups. Urine leakage associated with a feeling of urgency and loss of stool or gas from the rectum beyond patient's control became significantly different after treatment between two groups. Posterior tibial nerve stimulation could help urinary problems in women with a neurologic bladder.

© 2014 Tehra University of Medical Sciences. All rights reserved. *Acta Medica Iranica*, 2014;52(11):816-821.

**Keywords:** Overactive bladder (OAB); Posterior tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS); Tolterodine; Urinary urgency

# Introduction

Overactive bladder (OAB) is a disabling disorder characterized by urinary urgency with or without urge incontinence, frequency and nocturia in the absence of underlying pathology (1). Overactivity of the bladder detrusor muscle, unprovoked contractions of the detrusor and urethro-vesical dysfunction may cause OAB symptoms. OAB affects near 16% of adults in America during its incidence increases with age advancing (2-3). OAB affects social, psychological, occupational, domestic, physical, and functioning of all affected cases (4). Low self-esteem, embarrassment, depression and increase in the number of falls and fracture are among consequences of OAB(5-6).

Treatment of cases with OAB include behavioral, pharmacological, surgical interventions and peripheral electrical stimulation(7).

Peripheral electrical stimulation has been considered

for treating urinary disorders for many years (8-9). Different methods such as chronic perineal muscles stimulation, acute perineal nerve stimulation (dorsal nerve of the penis), chronic electrical stimulation of perineal skin/ sacral dermatomes and posterior tibial nerve stimulation were applied to treat different urinary disorders (8-10).

Amarenco *et al.*, showed that posterior tibial nerve stimulation increased detrusor contraction volume and maximum cystometric capacity (11).

The goal of this study was to determine the effects of posterior tibial nerve stimulation on sexual function and pelvic disorders in women with Overactive bladder (OAB).

## **Materials and Methods**

In this randomized clinical trial which conducted in Imam Hospital (affiliated hospital of Tehran university of medical sciences) between September 2012 and September 2013, 50 women with confirmed neurologic bladder (by means of urodynamic test) enrolled. By means of computer generated numbers, cases were assigned to PTNS plus tolterodine or tolterodine alone treatment. Tolterodine group received 4 mg tolterodine daily for three months while the other group received this treatment plus percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for 12 consequence weeks.

Every session lasted for 30 minutes while a 34 gauge needle placed 5 cm near internal malleolus. All cases asked to fill informed consent forms from the studies that had been approved by local ethics committee. All cases filled FSFI (Female sexual function Index) and a questionnaire assessing pelvic problems. Nor the patients nor the physician were blinded to the patient's group.

All data were analyzed using SPSS software version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Student's t-test and paired sample t-test was used to compare continuous variables and chi-square used to compare categorical variables.

P-value less than 0.05 was considered as significant.

#### Results

Before the study beginning, 2 in PTNS group and 8 in the control group withdrew from the study.

Age, educational level, and occupation status were not significantly different between two groups (Table 1).

Mean total FSFI and its subscales were not significantly different before and after treatment between two groups.

Repeated measure ANOVA showed that mean FSFI and its subscales were significantly different before and after treatment in each group (*P*<0.001) (Table 2).

Pelvic disorders were not significantly different before treatment between two groups (Table 3).

Urine leakage associated with a feeling of urgency and loss of stool or gas from the rectum beyond patient's control became significantly different after treatment between two groups (Table 4).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics between two groups

Two It Demogra				
		PTNS	Control	<b>P-</b>
		group	group	value
Age (mean ±SD)		47±13.1	49.5±10.3	0.5
Level of education	Educated	18	13	0.7
	Not educated	5	4	
Occupation status	Occupied	6	7	0.4
	Not occupied	17	10	
Previous delivery	Yes	21	17	0.2
	No	2	0	

Table 2. FSFI and its subscales before and after treatment in each group

	PTNS	Control	<i>P-</i>
	group	group	value
Desire before treatment	2.7±1.7	2.2±1.4	0.4
Desire after treatment	$2.9 \pm 1.5$	$2.5 \pm .1$	0.3
Arousal before treatment	$2.6\pm1.7$	$2.4\pm1.5$	0.7
Arousal after treatment	3±1.6	$2.3\pm1.7$	0.1
Lubrication before treatment	3.1±2	$2.5\pm2.1$	0.3
Lubrication after treatment	$3.4\pm2.1$	2.5±1.8	0.1
Orgasm before treatment	$2.8\pm2$	$2.2\pm1.8$	0.3
Orgasm after treatment	$3.4\pm2.3$	2.3±1.5	0.06
Satisfaction before treatment	3±1.8	$2.2\pm1.8$	0.1
Satisfaction after treatment	3±1.9	$2.2\pm1.4$	0.1
Pain before treatment	$3.8\pm2.3$	$2.9\pm2.2$	0.2
Pain after treatment	4.2±2.2	$3.2\pm2.2$	0.1
<b>Total FSFI score before treatment</b>	18.1±10.7	$14.6 \pm 10.5$	0.3
Total FSFI score after treatment	$20.2 \pm 11.2$	$15.2\pm8.7$	0.1

Table 3. Pelvic disorders before treatment between two groups

		PTNS group	Control group	<i>P</i> -value
1. Do you usually experience urine leakage related to coughing, sneezing or	Yes	18	7	
laughing?	No	5	10	
	Rarely	5	3	
If b d :4 b -4b	•	4	1	0.07
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Somewhat	5	0	
	Moderately	4	3	
	Quite a bit	4	3	
2. Do you usually experience frequent urination during the day or awaking	Yes	14	12	
during the night for urination 2 times or more?	No	9	5	
	Rarely	3	1	0.2
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Somewhat	0	3	0.2
if yes, now much does it bother you.	Moderately	4	2	
	Quite a bit	7	6	
2. Do you usually experience a stuars consection of moding to go to the				
3. Do you usually experience a strong sensation of needing to go to the	Yes	21		
bathroom for urination?	No	2		
	Rarely	5	2	0.8
	Somewhat	7	6	0.8
If yes, how much does it bother you?		9	8	
	Moderately	9	8	
	Quite a bit			
4 .Do you usually experience urine leakage associated with a feeling of	Yes	18	14	
urgency?				
	No	5	3	
	Rarely	3	2	0.3
If you have much does it bothon you?	Somewhat	4	2	
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Moderately	7	2	
	Quite a bit	4	8	
" D	*7	7	-	
5. Do you usually experience difficulty emptying your bladder?	Yes	7	5	
	No	19	12	
	Rarely	3	4	0.5
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Somewhat	1	0	0.0
if yes, now much does it bother you.	Moderately	1	1	
	Quite a bit	2	0	
6. Do you usually have a bulge or something falling out that you can see or	<b>X</b> 7	10	0	
feel in the vaginal area?	Yes	12	8	
icci ili tile vagiliai ai ca:	No	11	9	
	Rarely	4	3	0.5
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Somewhat	1	3	
ii yes, now much does it bother you.	Moderately	3	1	
	Quite a bit	4	1	
7. Do you ever have to push on the vagina or around the rectum to have or	Yes	10		
complete a bowel movement?	103	10	6	
tompicte a bower movement.	No	13	11	0.7
	Rarely	5	1	0.7
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Somewhat	3	3	
if yes, now much does it bother you?	Moderately	1	1	
	Quite a bit	1	1	
	Yes	11	2	
8. Do you usually lose stool or gas from rectum beyond your control?		12	15	
	No Daniela			
	Rarely	6	1	0.1
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Somewhat	4	1	
·	Moderately	0	0	
	Quite a bit	1	0	
	Yes	12	11	
9. Are you sexually active?	No	11	6	
	Rarely	0	4	
	Somewhat	3	3	0.1
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Moderately	6	3	
	Quite a bit	3	1	
	•			
10. Do you usually experience vaginal noise?	Yes	5	2	
10. 20 you asuany experience raginal noise.	No	18	15	
	Rarely	4	1	0.5
If you have much door it bother you?	Somewhat	0	0	0.5
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Moderately	1	1	
			0	

Table 4. Pelvic disorders before treatment between two groups

		PTNS	Control	<i>P</i> -value
		group	group	
1. Do you usually experience urine leakage related to coughing, sneezing or	Yes	16	8	
aughing?	No	7	9	
	Rarely	5	3	0.3
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Somewhat	7	1	0.5
	Moderately	2	2	
	Quite a bit	1	1	
) D	V	12	10	
2. Do you usually experience frequent urination during the day or awaking	Yes	12	12	
during the night for urination 2 times or more?	No	11	5	
	Rarely	3	6	0.3
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Somewhat	5	2	
11 Jes, 110 () 111 110 110 100 10 00 110 110 110 110	Moderately	1	1	
	Quite a bit	2	3	
3. Do you usually experience a strong sensation of needing to go to the	Yes	21	16	
bathroom for urination?		2		
Dathroom for urination?	No		1	
	Rarely	8	4	0.2
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Somewhat	9	4	
11 yes, now much does to bother you.	Moderately	2	5	
	Quite a bit	1	3	
4. Do you usually sympationes unine leakage associated with a feeling of	V	10	1.4	
4 .Do you usually experience urine leakage associated with a feeling of	Yes	18	14	
urgency?	No	5	3	
	Rarely	9	3	0.03
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Somewhat	7	3	0.05
if yes, now much does it bother you:	Moderately	1	6	
	Quite a bit	0	2	
	-		4	
5. Do you usually experience difficulty emptying your bladder?	Yes	6	4	
	No	17	13	
	Rarely	3	3	0.7
If h	Somewhat	3	1	0.7
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Moderately	0	0	
	Quite a bit	0	0	
	-			
6. Do you usually have a bulge or something falling out that you can see or	Yes	12	8	
feel in the vaginal area?	No	11	9	
	Rarely	6	1	0.1
If you have much does it bothow you?	Somewhat	1	5	0.1
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Moderately	1	1	
	Quite a bit	3	1	
	-			
7. Do you ever have to push on the vagina or around the rectum to have or	Yes	8	6	
complete a bowel movement?	No	15	11	
	Rarely	4	0	0.1
If you have much door it bothon you?	Somewhat	4	3	
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Moderately	0	2	
	Quite a bit	0	1	
	-		_	
8. Do you usually lose stool or gas from rectum beyond your control?	Yes	11	2	
5. 20 Joa acamy rose sever of Sus from rectum bejoint your control.	No	12	15	
	Rarely	9	0	0.01
If b	Somewhat	3	2	0.01
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Moderately	0	0	
	Quite a bit	0	0	
	-			
9. Are you sexually active?	Yes	12	11	
on the jour sexually active.	No	11	6	
	Rarely	1	6	0.06
If b	Somewhat	3	3	0.06
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Moderately	4	2	
	Quite a bit	4	0	
	_			
10. Do you usually experience vaginal noise?	Yes	2	2	
io. Do jou usuany experience vaginar noise:	No	18	15	
	Rarely	3	0	0.4
	Somewhat	1	1	0.4
	Somewhat			
If yes, how much does it bother you?	Moderately	1	1	

# **Discussion**

The result of the current study showed that PTNS is effective for improving urine leakage associated with a feeling of urgency and controlling stool or gas lost from rectum. The result showed that these items improved significantly after treatment in PTNS group than tolterodine group. We also found no significant difference between two groups regarding FSFI score and its subscales.

In a previous study conducted by Peters *et al.*, twenty-nine patients with neurogenic bladder were treated with PTNS method for 36 months. The result of their study showed that mean urination at night reached 1.7 at the end of the study in comparison to 2.7 at the beginning. Urinary urgency had decreased to 0.3 in comparison to 3.3. At the end of study, all items of quality of life had improved(12). In another study conducted by Peters *et al.*, in 2009, 100 patients with frequency urination randomly received either PTNS for 12 weeks or 4mg tolterodine. They found that frequency had been improved in 79% in PTNS group and 54% in the other group(13).

By applying 12 weeks PTNS for patients with OAB, Scott *et al.*, investigated that after 6 and 12 months, 94% and 96% of patients responded to the treatment (14).

Amarenco *et al.*, treated 44 patients with OAB with PTNS and reported detrusor contraction volume cystometry as 162.9 ml at the beginning of the study while it became 232.1 ml during PTNS. Their findings showed that PTNS significantly improved first involuntary detrusor contraction volume (11).

These findings could show that PTNS in effective in treating urinary symptoms.

Behavioral modifications such as bladder training, pharmacological and surgical interventions have been considered as treatment modalities for cases with OAB.

Cases with detrusor instability or hyperreflexia usually are treated with anticholinergic drugs while they have no efficacy for urinary symptoms in some patients. On the other hand, cases suffer from side effects of these medications and most of the cases quit the treatment. Dry mouth, constipation, blurring eyes, hesitancy or urinary retention are among adverse effects of these medications (11).

A different method of electrostimulation has been regarded to improve bladder storage capacity. For instance, literature shows that sacral anterior root stimulation and S3 neuromodulation was effective for treating bladder over-activity but needed surgical

implantation and a test period (15).

Previnaire *et al.*, used dorsal penile or clitoris nerve surface electrodes for patients with spinal cord injury and investigated significant increase in bladder capacity (10).

By means of vaginal electrode or surface electrode on dorsal part of penis in cases with detrusor overactivity, Yamanishi *et al.*, reported significant increase in bladder and cystometric capacity (16).

Our results showed that PTNS is effective for improving urine leakage associated with a feeling of urgency and controlling stool or gas lost from rectum.

By depolarizing somatic sacral and lumbar afferent fibers, PTNS prevents bladder activity (17). Stimulation of afferent fibers will result in central inhibition of the

preganglionic bladder motor neurons in the sacral cord (8).

PTNS is a minimally invasive technique which could suppress detrusor instability or hyperreflexia and increase bladder capacity (11). As it is not invasive, it could be used in clinical practice but multi-centric studies with larger sample sizes are needed. Also, patients with urinary problems and different underlying diseases should be enrolled in the study.

Conclusion: posterior tibial nerve stimulation could help urinary problems in women with neurologic bladder.

## References

- Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M, et al. The standardisation of terminology of lower urinary tract function: report from the Standardisation Sub committee of the International Continence Society. Neurourol Urodyn 2002;21(2):167-78.
- Beneton C, De Parisot O. The medical treatment of overactive bladder. Neurochirurgie 2003;49(2-3 Pt 2):369-76.
- 3. Chughtai B, Levin R, De E. Choice of antimuscarinic agents for overactive bladder in the older patient: focus on darifenacin. Clin Interv Aging 2008;3(3):503-9.
- Abrams P, Kelleher C, Kerr LA, et al. Overactive bladder significantly affects quality of life. The Am J Manag Care 2000;6(11 Suppl): S580-90.
- Brown JS, Vittinghoff E, Wyman JF, et al. Urinary incontinence: does it increase risk for falls and fractures? Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. J Am Geriatr Soc 2000;48(7):721-5.
- 6. Wagg A, Cohen M. Medical therapy for the overactive bladder in the elderly. Age Ageing 2002;31(4):241-6.
- 7. Ulahannan D, Wagg A. The safety and efficacy of

- tolterodine extended release in the treatment of overactive bladder in the elderly. Clin Interv Aging 2009;4:191-6.
- 8. Fall M, Lindström S. Electrical stimulation. A physiologic approach to the treatment of urinary incontinence. Urol Clin North Am 1991;18(2):393-407.
- 9. McGuire E, Zhang S, Horwinski E, et al. Treatment of motor and sensory detrusor instability by electrical stimulation. J Urol 1983;129(1):78-9.
- 10. Previnaire J, Soler J, Perrigot M, et al. Short-term effect of the pudendal nerve electrical stimulation on detrusor hyperreflexia in spinal cord injury patients: importance of current strength. Paraplegia 1996;34(2):95-9.
- 11. Amarenco G, Ismael SS, Even-Schneider A, et al. Urodynamic effect of acute transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation in overactive bladder. J Urol 2003;169(6):2210-5.
- 12. Peters KM, Carrico DJ, Wooldridge LS, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for the long-term treatment of overactive bladder: 3-year results of the STEP study. J Urol 2013;189(6):2194-201.

- 13. Peters KM, MacDiarmid SA, Wooldridge LS, et al. Randomized trial of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus extended-release tolterodine: results from the overactive bladder innovative therapy trial. J Urol 2009;182(3):1055-61.
- 14. MacDiarmid SA, Peters KM, Shobeiri SA, et al. Longterm durability of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for treatment of overactive bladder. J Urol 2010;183(1):234-40.
- 15. Tanagho E, Schmidt R. Electrical stimulation in the clinical management of the neurogenic bladder. J Urol 1988;140(6):1331-9.
- 16. Yamanishi T, Sakakibara R, Uchiyama T, et al. Comparative study of the effects of magnetic versus electrical stimulation on inhibition of detrusor overactivity. Urology 2000;56(5):777-81.
- 17. Vodusek DB, Light JK, Libby JM. Detrusor inhibition induced by stimulation of pudendal nerve afferents. Neurourol Urodyn 1986;5(4):381-9.