Autoimmune Encephalitis in Brief
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Introduction
Limbic encephalitis, a relatively newly described condition, usually courses with an insidious onset of episodic memory loss, confusion, and agitation (1). The role of immune dysregulation in its pathophysiology has been extensively investigated. A recent study reported the prevalence and incidence rates of Autoimmune Encephalitis (AE) as 13.7/100,000 and 0.8/100,000 person-years which was not significantly different compared to infectious encephalitis (2). Herein, we summarize different aspects of AE.

Autoantibodies
Autoantibodies associated with AE are classified into two groups, based on their neuronal antigens (3). Over 15 antibodies have been recognized in adults, while only four have been identified in children (4). The target of group 1 autoantibodies is intra-cellular or onco-neuronal proteins of which Ri, Hu, Yo, and Ma are examples. These intracellular molecules are associated with paraneoplastic encephalitis and other underlying malignancies; such as lung cancer, skin cancer or testicular tumors (5). They have a poor outcome mainly as the result of irreversible neuronal damage. Antibodies targeting onco-neuronal antigens are not intrinsically pathogenic, but this may result in an autoimmune response to tumor antigens, which include proteins shared by the nervous system. The exception of this group is an anti-GAD antibody which seems to play a role in both acute seizures and chronic epilepsy (6). The second group consists of antibodies targeting neuronal surface antigens referred to as “neuronal surface antibodies” (NSAbs). Their neurological manifestation is known as “neuronal surface antibody syndromes” (NSAS) (7). These antigens are involved in synaptic signaling and plasticity.

Antigens in this group include those listed in box 1.

Clinical manifestations
Prodromal viral symptoms, such as fever, rhinorrhea, and sore throat may be seen up to three weeks before the onset of AE manifestations (8). Most AEs, however, do not have a fever as part of their manifestations.

The onset may be insidious. Movement disorders, seizures, altered level of consciousness, lethargy, personality change, memory deficits, speech dysfunction and psychiatric symptoms such as psychosis in different combinations are common in AE (9). Recently, some specific characteristics have been described for some AEs; for instance, faciobrachial dystonic seizures and episodes of piloerection are now considered as pathogonomic features of anti-LGI1 AE (10,11).

Diagnosis
Diagnosis is reliant on antibody testing on serum or CSF samples. Some of the autoantibodies also act as prognostic biomarkers (12). In some types of AE such as anti-GAD, anti-NMDAR, anti-LGI1, and anti-CASPR2 encephalitis, the sensitivity of CSF is better than sera; while in encephalopathies associated with MOG and AQP4 serum samples are better than CSF.

BOX 1:
N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR),
a-methyl-4-isoxazolopropionic acid receptor (AMPA R),
gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) a and b,
leucine-rich glutamate inactivated 1 (LG11),
contactin-associated protein like 2 (CASPR2),
dipeptidyl-peptidase-like protein 6 receptor (DPPX R),
metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGLUR5),
glycin receptor (GlyR),
aquaporin 4 (AQP4),
myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG).
Autoantibodies screening can be, however, problematic as it is not often accessible or available. When available results may take time to be returned. Therefore, focusing on clinical presentation and findings of complementary tests such as CSF, MRI and EEG should be the first step in the diagnosis.

Abnormal cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is often present with predominant lymphocytic pleocytosis although this can also be seen in CNS infections. CSF findings cannot fully differentiate between AE and infectious encephalitis, but it can provide some differentiating markers. High CSF pleocytosis, protein concentrate, and decreased glucose are consistent with bacterial infections; while low pleocytosis with normal protein and glucose are more indicative of AE. Viral infections can have the same CSF findings as AE. Elevated neopterin and oligoclonal bands (OCBs) in CSF were also detected in both AE and infectious encephalitis (15).

The normal or non-specific finding are often seen in the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); for instance, MRI is normal in approximately 60% of people with NMDA encephalitis (16). Conversely, specific patterns are often seen in infectious encephalitis.

Electroencephalography (EEG) is abnormal in most AE cases but could be normal in cases mainly involving the brainstem or cerebellum. To date, no clear diagnostic patterns have emerged from CSF, MRI and EEG findings to help distinguish all types of AE from infectious encephalitis. Some characteristic features have, however, for some types of AE which may help diagnosis. Extreme delta brush in EEG of patients with anti-NMDAR encephalopathy and multifocal MRI fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) abnormalities in anti-GABAA R encephalitis are some of these features (17) (Table1).

A clinical approach for the diagnosis of AE was suggested in 2016, and these three criteria should be fulfilled for the diagnosis: 1) rapid progression or acute or subacute onset of psychiatric symptoms; 2) presence of recent focal CNS finding and/or unprovoked seizures and/or CSF pleocytosis and/or MRI patterns associated with encephalitis; and 3) exclusion of other causes (18). The differential diagnosis of AE is vast and includes systemic autoimmune disorders, infections, alcohol or drug abuse (Wernicke encephalitis) and a neuroleptic malignant syndrome which must all be excluded. Seizures can be the sole manifestation of AE, and these cases can be a diagnostic challenge as the first criteria will not be fulfilled unless unprovoked seizures are moved into the first criteria.

Treatment

For patients with a definite diagnosis of AE, the first line of therapy consists of corticosteroids with the combination of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) and/or plasmapheresis. Using IV solumedrol (1 gram daily for 3-5 days then a taper for several weeks) and IVIg (0.4 gram/kg/day for 5 days) is one of the suggested treatments (19). Improvement may occur 2 weeks or more, after starting the first line. If no betterment was achieved during this time or in subjects with severe symptoms such as coma, the second line of treatment should be added to the previous therapy. Cyclophosphamide and rituximab are the mentioned second-line medications in studies. 750 mg/m² IV cyclophosphamide monthly until the improvement will be achieved or 375 mg/m² rituximab weekly for 4 weeks, is frequently used as the second line of treatment (19).

If an individual is suspected for AE, but the process of diagnosis is not complete, IVIg and plasmapheresis can be used as empirical treatment. Of note, corticosteroids may worsen infectious encephalitis or CNS lymphoma but no data is existed to show that IVIg or plasmapheresis may affect these aforementioned conditions (20) and they can be used safely in suspected AE patients. In cases with paraneoplastic encephalitis, early use of immunotherapy can be useful, but the treatment of underlying malignancies should be noted too (Figure1).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Median age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Neurological manifestations</th>
<th>Occurs in children</th>
<th>Abnormal CSF (%)</th>
<th>EEG findings</th>
<th>MRI findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LGI1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M&gt;F</td>
<td>FBDS, Tonic seizures, Myoclonus, Dementia, Limbic encephalitis, Sleep disorders, piloerection, episodes of dizzy spell</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Ictal 2-4Hz epileptiform event, PLEDs and temporal epileptic discharge</td>
<td>Hippocampal high T2 signal with unilateral or bilateral medial temporal lesions FLAIR hyper-intensities in temporal regions Basal ganglia hyper-intensity in about 90% of patients with FBDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPR2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M&gt;F</td>
<td>Neuromyotonia, Fasciculations Morvan’s syndrome, Limbic encephalitis, Sleep disorders</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Similar to LGI1 in EEG findings</td>
<td>Hippocampal high T2 signal with unilateral or bilateral medial temporal lesions FLAIR hyper-intensities in temporal regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABAaR</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M=F</td>
<td>Cognitive dysfunction, Depression, Psychosis, Ataxia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>GPD</td>
<td>75% of patients have multifocal extensive FLAIR and T2 hyper-intense MRI abnormalities with numerous involved cortical and subcortical brain regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABAbR</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M&gt;F</td>
<td>Seizure, Limbic encephalitis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>80-90%</td>
<td>Diffuse slowing and bilateral periodic lateralized epileptiform discharges</td>
<td>Increased FLAIR signal in the medial temporal lobe of both hemispheres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPAR</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M&lt;F</td>
<td>Limbic encephalitis, Psychosis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>Ictal 2-4Hz epileptiform event, PLEDs and temporal epileptic discharge</td>
<td>No specific pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDAR</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>M&lt;F</td>
<td>Behavioral changes, Psychosis, Seizure, Aphasia, Movement disorders, Central hypoventilation, Dysautonomia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>Extreme delta brush in few patients and PLEDs</td>
<td>Approximately 40% of patients with transient cortical or subcortical brain, cerebellar or brainstem abnormalities. Advanced MRI techniques indicated reduced functional connectivity of hippocampi and white matter changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mGLUR5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M=F</td>
<td>Behavioral changes, Memory deficit, Confusion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Pronounced IRDA</td>
<td>Non-specific white matter lesions, atrophy and temporal lobe inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPX</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M&gt;F</td>
<td>Tremor, Sleep disorder, Depression, Psychosis, Dysautonomia, Brainstem or spinal cord disorders</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>No specific pattern</td>
<td>Non-specific white matter changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GlyR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M=F</td>
<td>Encephalomyelitis, Stiff person syndrome, Myoclonus, Hyperkplexia</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NMDAR: N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor; AMPAR: a-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor; GABA a and bR: gamma-amino butyric acid; LGI1: leucine-rich glutamate inactivated 1; CASPR2: contactin-associated protein like 2; DPPXR: dipeptidyl-peptidase-like protein 6 receptor; mGLUR5: metabotropic glutamate receptor 5; GlyR: glycine receptor; M: male; F: female; FBDS: faciobrachial dystonic seizures; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; PLEDs: periodic lateralized epileptiform discharges; GPD: generalized periodic discharges; IRDA: intermittent rhythmic delta activity
Figure 1. Treatment of autoimmune encephalitis
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